UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,605	04/22/2004	Michael L. O'Banion	0275A-000749	6857
	7590 07/09/200 y and Pierce, P.L.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 828		FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR		
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/829,605	O'BANION ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiruit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 December 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-8,10-14,20,22,23 and 44-47 is/a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-8,10-14,20,22,23 and 44-47 is/a 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.  are rejected.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the correct of the control of the correct and the correct of the	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/829,605 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 12/26/07.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2, 5, 10, 11 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (4,206,672) in view Truan et al. (US 2002/0104178 A1).

Smith discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a support structure/riving knife 56, first and second side curtain (66 and 68), a locking member 70, a female snap-fit connector 122 and a male snap-fit connector 96 (see Fig. 7). Smith doesn't show a quick release mechanism having a locking member and a spring. However, Truan et al. teaches the use of a quick release mechanism 54 having a locking member 68 and a spring 56 for the purpose of quickly mounting the tool. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Smith by providing the quick release mechanism having the locking member and the spring as taught by Truan et al. in order to obtain a device that quickly mounts the tool.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (4,206,672) in view Truan et al. (US 2002/0104178 A1) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Sartori (6,578,460 B2).

The modified device of Smith discloses the claimed invention except that pin instead of a screw and a wing nut. Sartori shows that a screw 62 and a wing nut 68 are equivalent structures known in the art. Therefore, because theses two locking elements were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the pin for the screw and the wing nut.

4. Claims 7, 8, 12-14, 20 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (4,206,672) in view Truan et al. (US 2002/0104178 A1) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Vogl et al. (3,249,134).

The modified device of Smith discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the curtains independently move in response to the force applied by a workpiece. However, Vogl et al. teaches the use of the curtains (32 and 35) independently move in response to the force applied by a workpiece for the purpose of fully obstructing accidental hand access to the saw. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Smith by providing the curtains independently move in response to the force applied by a workpiece as taught by Vogl et al. in order to obtain a device that fully obstructs accidental hand access to the saw. The modified device of Smith is capable of performing the intended use of curtains independently removable from the hood assembly.

5. Claims 22, 23, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith (4,206,672) in view Truan et al. (US 2002/0104178 A1) and Vogl et al. (3,249,134).

Smith discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a support structure/riving knife 56, first and second side curtain (66 and 68) and a first locking assembly 78. Smith doesn't

Application/Control Number: 10/829,605 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

show a second locking assembly having a locking member and a spring. However, Truan et al. teaches the use of a second locking assembly 54 having a locking member 68 and a spring 56 for the purpose of quickly mounting the tool. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Smith by providing the second locking assembly having the locking member and the spring as taught by Truan et al. in order to obtain a device that quickly mounts the tool.

The modified device of doesn't show a first locking assembly independently removing the curtains. However, Vogl et al. teaches the use of a first locking assembly (40 and 42) independently removing the curtains for the purpose of fully obstructing accidental hand access to the saw. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Smith by providing the first locking assembly independently removing the curtains as taught by Vogl et al. in order to obtain a device that fully obstructs accidental hand access to the saw. The modified device of Smith is capable of performing the intended use of the curtains independently movable relative to the support structure.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,605 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/O. F./
Examiner, Art Unit 3724
7/7/2008
/Boyer D. Ashley/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3724